

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 136885

65

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00 SAJ-01

DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-05 /062 R

66616

DRAFTED BY OASD/ISA:JTYLER

APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:HAHOLMES

OASD/ISA:RJEFFERSON

JCS/J-5 COL. LIVERNASH (INFO)

OASD/PA AND E:MR. WOOD

EUR/RPM:COL. RTHOMPSON

----- 009944

P R 112352Z JUN 75

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO PRIORITY

INFO USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

USDEL MC

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 136885

E.O. 11652:GDS

TAGS: MPOL, NATO

SUBJECT: ALTERNATIVE PROCEDURES FOR SPRING DEFENSE REVIEW

REF: USNATO 3120

1. WE AGREE ON NEED FOR SOME MECHANISM FOR KEEPING SUPPORT-
ING PROGRAMS UNDER REVIEW BUT WOULD WANT TO TAKE ALL
ASPECTS INTO ACCOUNT BEFORE ACCEPTING ANY FINAL SOLUTION.
WE ARE PARTICULARLY CONCERNED THAT DRC EMPHASIS ON SPRING
REVIEW OF NATIONAL SUPPORTING PROGRAMS (AS DIFFERENTIATED
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 136885

FROM NATO SUPPORTING PROGRAMS) MAY DETRACT FROM BROADER
NATO JOB OF DECIDING HOW TO APPROACH ITS QTE COOPERATIVE
UNQTE TASK (EUROGROUP PROCUREMENT PROPOSAL, STANDARDIZATION,
INTEROPERABILITY, RATIONALIZATION, BROADER USE OF COMMON

PROGRAMS, ETC.). IN SUPPORTING REGIONAL APPROACH TO ANALYSIS AND REVIEW OF DEFENSE EFFORT, MISSION SHOULD EXPRESS US WILLINGNESS TO EXPAND REVIEW COVERAGE OF NATIONAL SUPPORTING PROGRAMS IN EXISTING FALL DEFENSE PLANNING REVIEW ALONG WITH US PREFERENCE FOR USE OF ANNUAL SPRING REVIEW FOR COVERAGE OF COOPERATION AND COMMON PROGRAMS ON A BROADER FRAMEWORK THAN THAT ENCOMPASSED BY DRC TERMS OF REFERENCE.

2. AS GENERAL BACKGROUND AND AS A POSSIBLE FRAME OF

REFERENCE FOR DISCUSSION, WE SEE NATO DEFENSE AS CONSISTING OF THE THREE ELEMENTS: (A) NATIONAL FORCES ALONG WITH NATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAMS, (B) THE NATO SUPERSTRUCTURE OF HEADQUARTERS (BOTH CIVIL AND MILITARY), COMMUNICATIONS AND AGREED PROCEDURES, FOR DIRECTION AND CONTROL OF THE FORCES IN A NATO DEFENSE EMERGENCY, AND (C) THE NATO FACILITIES OR INFRASTRUCTURE THAT SUPPORT THE OPERATIONS OF THE FORCES UNDER NATO. TO THIS BASIC RELATIONSHIP OF FORCES, SUPERSTRUCTURE AND INFRASTRUCTURE MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE ADDED A FOURTH ELEMENT, THAT OF COOPERATION AMONG NATIONS IN MAINTAINING AND IMPROVING NATO DEFENSE AND BETWEEN THE MILITARY AND CIVIL SECTORS IN EACH NATION IN TIME OF DEFENSE EMERGENCY.

3. ANNUAL FALL DEFENSE PLANNING REVIEW CLEARLY CARRIES TITLE FOR REVIEW OF NATIONAL PROGRAMS FOR SUPPORT OF NATIONAL FORCES AND COULD BE EXPANDED AS DESIRED TO COVER NATIONAL SUPPORTING PROGRAMS IN GREATER DEPTH. HOWEVER, WE WOULD NOT WISH TO DEVOTE SPRING SEASON TO CONTINUED REVIEW OF NATIONAL EFFORTS, THEREBY PRECLUDING DETAILED COVERAGE OF NATO SUPPORTING PROGRAMS IN SPRING. WE THINK SPRING REVIEW SHOULD DEAL WITH STATUS OF NATO SUPERSTRUCTURE, INFRASTRUCTURE AND PROGRESS IN NATO COOPERATION, AS THESE TERMS HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED ABOVE.

4. WE HAVE NO OBJECTION TO DISCUSSION WITHIN DRC OF CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 136885

BROADER PROBLEM OF ANNUAL REVIEW (INCLUDING BOTH FALL AND SPRING SESSIONS) OF DEFENSE PROBLEMS AND PROGRAMS OVERALL, INCLUDING NATO COOPERATIVE EFFORTS. HOWEVER, WE UNDERSTAND SECRETARY GENERAL LUNS HAS INVITED ASG TUCKER TO RECOMMEND A NATO APPROACH TO THE BROAD PROBLEM OF STANDARDIZATION AND COOPERATION, AND DRC DISCUSSION OF JUNE 12 SHOULD BE ONLY EXPLORATORY WHEN IT RANGES BEYOND THE FALL REVIEW OF NATIONAL FORCES (AND NATIONAL SUPPORTING PROGRAMS).

5. AS AN ADDITIONAL POINT, WE BELIEVE THERE SHOULD BE AN ANNUAL REPEAT ANNUAL SPRING REVIEW OF NATO SUPPORTING PROGRAMS (SUPERSTRUCTURE, INFRASTRUCTURE AND COOPERATION

IN ARMAMENTS AND LOGISTICS AS DEFINED ABOVE) TO COMPLEMENT THE ANNUAL FALL REVIEW OF NATIONAL FORCES AND NATIONAL SUPPORT PROGRAMS, SO THAT THERE CAN BE AN ANNUAL APPRAISAL OF NATO DEFENSE AS AN ENTITY. WE DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE REVIEW OF NATO'S SUPPORTING PROGRAMS SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT ONLY EVERY SECOND YEAR WHEN THE DRC IS NOT INVOLVED IN DRAFT MINISTERIAL GUIDANCE, PARTICULARLY SINCE A MUCH BROADER RANGE OF NATO ACTIVITY THAN THAT ENCOMPASSED BY THE DRC WOULD BE CONCERNED. KISSINGER

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 AUG 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: ALLIANCE, COLLECTIVE SECURITY, MILITARY PLANS, MILITARY POLICIES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 11 JUN 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: CunninFX
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975STATE136885
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: OASD/ISA:JTYLER
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: D750204-0563
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t197506101/baaaamjo.tel
Line Count: 120
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: CunninFX
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <29 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <26 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
06 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> wfs 971016
Subject: n/a
TAGS: MPOL, BE, US, NATO
To: NATO INFO USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
CINCLANT
MC
Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006